Rec'd PCT/PTO 2

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

INTERNATIO	ONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINA				
INSTATION PA	(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)				
plicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION SeeNotification of Transmittal of International Prelimination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
C1-A0214P	International filing date (day/month/year) 17 July 2003 (17.07.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 22 July 2002 (22.07.2002)			
PCT/JP2003/009087 International Patent Classification (IPC) or n C07K 16/40, A61K 38/46, 39/39					
	IUGAI SEIYAKU KABUSHIKI KA				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of the report is also accomp	emination report has been prepared by this Interaction according to Article 36. of	r sheet. ption, claims and/or drawings which have be fications made before this Authority (see R			
These annexes consist of a contains indications	a total of sheets. relating to the following items:				
II Priority III Non-establishm IV Lack of unity of	ment of opinion with regard to novelty, invention of invention ement under Article 35(2) with regard to nove explanations supporting such statement				
III Priority III Non-establishm IV Lack of unity of Reasoned state citations and effect VI Certain defect	of invention ement under Article 35(2) with regard to nove explanations supporting such statement				
II Priority III Non-establishm IV Lack of unity of Reasoned state citations and effect VI Certain defect VII Certain observ	of invention ement under Article 35(2) with regard to nove explanations supporting such statement ments cited ts in the international application vations on the international application	ity, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
II Priority III Non-establishm IV Lack of unity of Reasoned state citations and experience of the demand Priority Non-establishm IV Cartain docum VI Certain docum VII Certain defect VIII Certain observing Certain Observin	ement under Article 35(2) with regard to nove explanations supporting such statement ments cited as in the international application vations on the international application Date of comp				
II Priority III Non-establishm IV Lack of unity of Reasoned state citations and extensions and extensions and extensions and extensions are considered to the constant of th	ement under Article 35(2) with regard to nove explanations supporting such statement ments cited as in the international application vations on the international application Date of comp.	lty, inventive step or industrial applicability; letion of this report 29 January 2004 (29.01.2004)			



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Interna al application No.

PCT/JP2003/009087

I. Basis of the report						
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*						
\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed					
	the description:					
	pages, as originally filed					
	pages, filed with the demand					
}	pages, filed with the letter of					
(the claims:					
	nages					
	pages, as originally fried, as originally fried					
	pages, filed with the demand					
ł	pages, filed with the letter of					
	the drawings:					
	mages					
•	pages, as originally filed pages, filed with the demand					
{	pages, filed with the letter of					
 	the sequence listing part of the description:					
Lu						
	nones					
}	pages, filed with the demand					
the in	regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. e elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:					
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/ or 55.3).					
3. With prelin	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international minary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
	contained in the international application in written form.					
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.					
4.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
	the description, pages					
·	the claims, Nos.					
ł	the drawings, sheets/fig					
5. 🗌	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**					
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17).						
** Any re	eplacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT



III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	the entire international application.					
\boxtimes	claims Nos14					
because	:					
\boxtimes	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 14 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	e supplemental sheet					
	the description, claims or drawings <i>(indicate particular elements below)</i> or said claims Nosare so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed <i>(specify)</i> :					
	the claims, or said claims Nos	are so inadequately supported				
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.	14				
2. A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:						
	the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.					
	the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.					
1						

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: III. 1.

Claim 14 pertains to methods for diagnosis or treatment of the human body, and thus relates to subject matter which does not require international preliminary examination by this International Preliminary Examining Authority, under the provisions of PCT Article 34(4)(a)(i) and PCT Rule 67.1(iv).

V .	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

-				
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-13, 15	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-13, 15	YES
		Claims		NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13, 15	YES
		Claims		NO
1 -				

Citations and explanations

Document 1: JP 3-200066 A (Tosoh Corp.), 2 September 1991

Document 2: EP 138222 A (Fujisawa Pharmaceutical Co.,

Ltd.), 24 April 1985

Document 3: JP 2-236452 A (Eisai Co., Ltd.), 19 September

1988

Document 4: EP 287028 A (Teijin Ltd.), 19 October 1988

Document 5: J. Biol. Chem., 2001, 276 (14), pp. 11199-203

The inventions set forth in claims 1-13 and 15 involve an inventive step relative to documents 1-5 above, cited in the international search report. Documents 1-5 do not disclose antibodies against protein C or activated protein C (aPC) whereby the antibodies act to potentiate the action of activated protein C in the body; and this feature could not be deduced easily by a person skilled in the art from the inventions disclosed in documents 1-5.